PROLOGUE

In the early 1970s, the then Department of Oriental Studies at the University of Sydney had a student organisation called SUCSA, a.k.a. Sydney University Chinese Studies Association. A pivotal figure in the association was Kam Louie, later to serve as Professor of Chinese at The Australian National University before taking up an appointment as Dean of Arts in the University of Hong Kong. Born in China, the future Dean was a local source of cultural knowledge about the People’s Republic and the inspiration behind SUCSA’s musical career. For a period roughly coterminous with the first Whitlam government, SUCSA regularly contributed choral items at Chinese community events in Dixon Street, especially on 1 October, China’s National Day.

Our repertoire consisted largely of revolutionary songs, which typically had lines such as ‘The People’s Liberation Army’s production is very great’ and ‘the American imperialists and their running dogs are increasingly isolated’. Most SUCSA members went on to study in China, where singing revolutionary songs was an established part of life, so learning these songs was a very useful aspect of our early Chinese education. Once in China, however, we also discovered that there were songs in our repertoire which could not be performed in public. Among these was Su Wu tending sheep (Su Wu mu yang), a song about a Han Dynasty official called Su Wu (140 – 60 BCE), who was detained by the Xiongnu ‘barbarians’ (hu).
on the frontier and forced to live among them for nineteen years. Innocents abroad, we took a while to understand why a song about a man living in exile in the first century CE could be politically sensitive in the 1970s.

Three decades later, in the early years of the twenty-first century, auction houses in China were doing well out of paintings of Su Wu tending sheep (the latter always look more like goats, despite the standard English translation). Ren Bonian (1840-1896), a painter popular in his day and much acclaimed since, produced a number of paintings on this theme (fig.1, previous page) and the best now command prices of hundreds of thousands of yuan. Since the 1970s, of course, China had passed through a process of reform, and Su Wu had been rehabilitated. A memorial museum incorporating his tomb is now a tourist attraction in his home town, Wugong, in Shaanxi province. A statue in front of the museum shows a bearded figure buffeted by the wind. He carries a tufted staff, the symbol of his office and of Han imperial authority, and is accompanied by two goats. The composition was probably based on impressions derived from the many paintings of Su Wu executed by Ren Bonian, and like those paintings evokes the traditional song of the same name.

Su Wu’s restoration to the position of national cultural icon does not alter the implications of the song, which projects a view of Chinese territory and the Chinese people at odds with the ideal of national unity. This is why, in the politically difficult years of the Cultural Revolution, it could not be sung. The territory once occupied by the Xiongnu now belongs to China, and the minority peoples who live in China’s border regions are, like the Han Chinese majority, all regarded as part of a greater social grouping known as ‘the Chinese people’. Su Wu tending sheep was frowned on in the pre-reform era both because it was an ‘old’ song about a non-progressive force in history and because it presented the Han and the Xiongnu as inhabiting different lands and embracing different values. In its emphasis on difference, it is consistent with a long tradition of representations of the frontier in Chinese history. Clothing was one of the details used by graphic artists to depict the difference.

Frederick Turner’s partial definition of the frontier as ‘the meeting ground between savagery and civilization’ is applicable to the frontier in Chinese history, a shifting zone in geographical terms but one where ‘this culture
of ours always meets its opposite, a way of life and a set of rituals that distinguish barbarians from Chinese. In Su Wu’s time, the frontier was the meeting ground between Xiongnu and Han, terms which connoted savagery and civilisation. In contemporary Chinese society, ‘Han’ denotes the major ethnic group, accounting for more than ninety percent of the population of China, but at that time it was a reference to subjects of the Han dynasty. ‘Xiongnu’ (literally ‘ferocious slave’) was its antonym, and referred to the mainly nomadic subjects of a great but unstable empire that abutted the Han empire in the north-west. The final line of the song Su Wu tending sheep, ‘zhong jiao Xiongnu xinjing dansui gongfu Han de wei’ bluntly juxtaposes Xiongnu and Han. The line can be translated roughly as: ‘finally [Su Wu] made the Xiongnu tremble in awe at his demonstration of Han virtue’.

From the graphically depicted differences between the Han and the Xiongnu in narrative paintings of the frontier, it can be surmised that the Chinese sense of self was strongly informed by a consciousness of the alien, horse-riding, warlike peoples who populated the frontier. Mark Edward Lewis has neatly summarised the early Han-dynasty view of material life in the contrasting cultural spheres which constituted the known world: ‘the nomads ate meat and drank milk; the Chinese ate grain. The Xiongnu wore skins and furs; the Chinese wore hemp and silk. The Chinese had walled towns, fields, and houses; the Xiongnu [supposedly] […] had none’. Virtually all of these paired opposites are graphically represented in one of the most famous treatments of the Han-Xiongnu encounter, the Song Dynasty (960-1279) illustrations to an eighth-century song cycle known as Eighteen Songs of a Nomad Flute: The Tale of Lady Wenji.

The Xiongnu are thought by some to be identical with the Huns who appeared in Europe in the fourth century. Although the evidence is not strong, the parallel is analytically suggestive. The idea of Attila the Hun in Europe is comparable to the idea of the Xiongnu in China, and indeed there are similar stories about the Hun and the Xiongnu, barbarians in the West and East respectively. In Europe, the legend of St Ursula tells of the martyrdom of a princess who refused to marry a Hun chieftain, putatively in the fourth century. In China the legend of Cai Wenji (b. 177 CE), tells of a well-born woman’s return to her parents after twelve years of enforced marriage to a Xiongnu prince. In both cases, an encounter with the barbarians provides a way of articulating a value central to the civilised or cultured society: virginity in Christendom, filial piety in Confuciandom.

Both legends have inspired numerous paintings, which again are worth comparing. The illustrations to Eighteen Songs of a Nomad Flute: The Tale of Lady Wenji exist in various forms, of which the most complete (although by no means the finest) is a late version owned by the Metropolitan Museum of Art (fig. 2). This handscroll, copy of an original tentatively attributed to Li Tang (c. 1050-1130), is in most respects quite unlike the legend of St Ursula as depicted by Hans Memling (c. 1430-1494) on the shrine in St John’s Hospital in Bruges (fig. 3). Yet each of these works is a series of illustrations of a legend featuring a barbarian prince, the one Hun and the other Xiongnu. The artists came to comparable conclusions as to how to represent this figure. Memling, painting at a time that the Ottoman Empire was rapidly expanding, depicted the Hun as...
The capacity of each of these legends to delineate contrasting ‘cultural spheres’ is also evident from paintings. Two paintings of St Ursula in the Hotel de Cluny impressed Anna Brownwell Jameson for just this reason. ‘The artist’, she observed, ‘has taken great pains to distinguish the heathen and barbarous court of England from the civilized and Christian court of Brittany’. Correspondingly, the opening and closing scenes of Eighteen Songs provide a sharp contrast between the warlike barbarians engaged in sacking the city, and the peaceable Chinese going about their business in the same city after the cessation of conflict. The barbarians wear armour and carry weapons. The Chinese wear long garments or short, according to their social status, and pay their respects to each other with hands folded beneath sleeves, all in accordance with sentiments articulated in the concluding stanza of the poem:

I return home and see my kin […]
As I hold towel and comb, I rediscover the good rituals and etiquette.
Touching the qin again enables me to live or die without regret.¹⁰

Here we reach the limits of comparison. The chivalric code and martial values of European societies were not entirely alien to China and can be recognised in popular story cycles, but they were normatively suppressed in favour of civil, literary values, especially during the Song Dynasty.¹¹ To the extent that the frontier was a ‘meeting ground between savagery and civilization’, it was best represented by horse-riding, armed barbarians confronting gown-wearing Chinese.

Variations in this configuration show that the frontier was also a place of cultural negotiation, ‘a place of reversal’, as Jean Franco writes, ‘[…] where the civilized may become barbarian and the barbarian civilized.’¹² In the fourth century BCE, King Wu Ling of Zhao attained ascendancy over the Xiongnu by ‘changing into barbarian dress, and shooting from horseback’.¹³ In the twelfth century, a

**THE FRONTIER WAS A PLACE OF CULTURAL NEGOTIATION, ‘A PLACE OF REVERSAL’, AS JEAN FRANCO WRITES, ‘[…] WHERE THE CIVILIZED MAY BECOME BARBARIAN AND THE BARBARIAN CIVILIZED.’**
One source of information for painters working on frontier themes was descriptions in historical works. In the case of the Xiongnu, educated people in imperial China must have had some impression of what clothing was worn because standard reading matter included works such as the official histories of the Han dynasty, and the *Historical Records* (*Shi ji*) by Sima Qian (145?-86 BCE). From these it could be ascertained that the barbarians ‘wore their hair down and fastened their clothing on the left’, and ‘dressed in clothes made of skins, and used furs as quilts’. Furs, skins, and a left-hand fastening accordingly feature in barbarian dress as depicted in Chinese painting. Of these features, the left-hand fastening had canonical status. Confucius himself said: ‘But for Guan Zhong, we should now be wearing our hair unbound, and the lappets of our coats buttoning on the left side’. These classical references were echoed in later texts. Liu Shang (fl. 773 *c.smcp*e.smcp) drew on them in Eighteen Songs of a Nomad Flute, in which he told the story of Lady Wenji. Forced into a barbarian marriage, living in a tent on the steppe far from kith and kin, the disconsolate Wenji laments:

I clean my hair with mutton fat, but it is seldom combed
The collar of my lambskin robe is buttoned on the left;
The fox lapels and badger sleeves are rank-smelling
By day I wear these clothes, by night I sleep in them.15 (Rorex, 5)

The Song-dynasty illustrations to the songs accordingly show Xiongnu men in fur-trimmed hats, as well as carrying quivers of leopard skin and wearing gowns fastened on the left-hand side. For some reason the artist chose to depict the women, including Lady Wenji, in right-fastening gowns. This may have been an assertion on his part of Wenji’s cultural influence on barbarian society.

Another resource for the painter of historical events was other paintings. In the Palace Museum’s *Zhuo xie tu* (Respite), a handscroll painting of a Khitan hunting party taking a break, Khitan artist Hu Gui (fl. tenth century) portrays figures which, taken individually, are almost identical to those who people *Eighteen Songs*, right down to the leopard-skin quivers. The distinctive hairstyles of Khitan men, featuring two pigtails hanging from the temples and sometimes a shaven head, are well-known from tomb murals and appear in both these paintings. Likewise, every Khitan (or Xiongnu) man is shown wearing boots, in pointed contrast to the Chinese men in *Eighteen Songs*, all of whom wear shoes. The one significant difference in terms of costume is that the women in Hu Gui’s painting wear their gowns fastened on the left. The men in both paintings have the elongated torso and slender hips that Angela Falco Howard finds characteristic of Liao (Khitan) statuary.19 In brief, there is little to distinguish the Khitan painting of contemporary Khitans from the presumably Han Chinese painting of the ancient Xiongnu.

Given this similarity, the question of how the Xiongnu were to be depicted by the painter of *Eighteen Songs* might perhaps be rephrased as a question about how the Khitan were to be depicted. In other words, the theme of the painting may well have been secondary to the subject matter, which is for the most part Khitan land, Khitan material culture, Khitan people. In the analysis of Robert Rorex and Wen Feng, ‘to the early Southern Song viewer the [painting] *Eighteen Songs* represented no mere historical romance but a real, all-pervading national trauma’,20 a reference to the constant threat of and actual invasions by peoples who were referred to as *hu* or *fan*, i.e. barbarian. But Irene Leung finds in the painting evidence that the painters were respectfully recognising the culture of their non-Chinese neighbours — or at least of the Khitan — and coming to terms with it in a way consistent with the Song’s status as a lesser empire. Comparing this handscroll and its look-alike predecessors with a sixteenth-century handscroll painting by You Qiu (fl. 1533-1591+), lends weight to Leung’s conclusion. The subject of You Qiu’s painting is not Lady Wenji but a slightly earlier historical figure, Wang Zhaojun (fl. 1st century BCE), but the two have much in common (fig. 4).21

Wang Zhaojun was a palace lady in the court of Emperor Han Yuandi (r. 48-33 BCE) and...
was offered in marriage to the Xiongnu Khan (shanyu) as part of a peace settlement. Unlike Cai Wenji and Su Wu, she never returned to Han territory, but like them she became a legendary figure, the subject of poems, dramas, and paintings. Painting history shows that to some degree her legend became entwined and confused with Wenji’s. ‘The Return of Wenji’ (Wenji gui Han) and ‘Zhaozhun’s departure for the Frontier’ (Zhaojun chusai) are titles that could be indiscriminately applied to a number of frontier paintings, as demonstrated by two almost identical works held by the Jilin Provincial Museum and the Osaka Municipal museum respectively. The former depicts Wenji’s return, the latter Zhaojun’s departure. The major difference between the two is the addition in the latter of a maid carrying a *pipa*, or Chinese lute. The *pipa* is steadily associated with the legend of Wang Zhaojun, who is said to have played it to ‘soothe her longing for home’. Wenji’s instrument, as indicated in the verse cited above, is the *qin*, or Chinese zither.

The legend of Wang Zhaojun has taken various forms over time. A ninth-century version associated with Dunhuang presents a sympathetic picture of the Khan and includes detailed descriptions of Zhaojun’s life ‘among the barbarians’. This version brings to mind Irene Leung’s reading of the *Eighteen Songs* and is consistent with the marriage alliance policy that characterised frontier relations during the Tang Dynasty (618–907). By contrast, the early seventeenth-century edition of the play *Autumn in the Han Palace* fails even to get Zhaojun across the border. Instead of proceeding to married life among the Xiongnu, she flings herself into the river demarcating Chinese and barbarian territory.

You Qiu does not portray a suicide, but his is a grim painting. The date of its execution, 1554, suggests a response on his part to contemporary border problems posed by pirates on the coast and Mongols on the northern frontier. You Qiu is known as a painter of ‘fair ladies’ (*meiren*), but in 1554 he produced a painting full of movement and violence. The beginning of the handscroll shows the wintry scene of a river that the party has already crossed. The men depicted riding on the further side appear to be Mongols. With facial hair, hooked noses, heavy faces, wearing trousers and boots, they present a forbidding appearance. Two timid-looking women are riding in their midst. They wear what appear to be barbarian hats (*hu mao*), but their gowns, worn over pleated skirts and tied with knotted girdles, are in the Chinese style familiar from Ming figure paintings, and provide a striking contrast to the clothes of the barbarians.

Rendered in black and white ‘outline style’ (*baimiao*), this unusually dark painting projects a view of frontier relations consistent with the actual history of Ming-Mongol contact, which was marked by the conspicuous absence of marriage alliances. The contrast between the barbarian men and the Chinese women is in keeping with the lines of the play *Autumn in the Han Palace*, where aspects of Han and *hu* culture are constantly juxtaposed. The contrary is the case in *Eighteen Songs*, where the painter’s treatment of the contrast is gentler than the lines of the poem he purports to illustrate: the fur hats are few, skins are not apparent in actual clothing, and the mien of the barbarians is gentle. In commenting on the clothing depicted in the earlier scroll, Rorex and Fong in fact found it difficult to distinguish between Chinese and Khitan.

How might these frontier tales have been viewed on the other side of the border? Surprisingly, there does exist a painting that enables us to reflect on a ‘barbarian’ view of these encounters: Zhang Yu’s *Return of Wenji* (fig. 5), executed in the Jin Dynasty (1115–1234). The Jin was founded by the Jurchen people and was effectively a successor to the Liao although eventually extending over a much greater area of China. Unlike the Khitans, the Jurchen left few reminders of how they looked. For this reason, Zhang Yu’s painting is frequently published in books of costume history. By comparing textual evidence with the painting, costume historians have
identified the following items of Wenji’s dress as characteristic of Jin Dynasty clothing: the marten-fur hat, long-legged boots with pointed toes, and cloud cape, as well as her hairstyle, consisting of long pigtails at the side of the face. A Chinese envoy accompanies the party, distinguished by his official hat and fan. The remaining horsemen are all ‘barbarian’, although it is worth noting that the Jin Dynasty forbade the use of this term (*fan*, not *hu*) in 1191.

The painting is difficult to read in terms of the cultural politics of Jin-Song relations, but clearly the artist has made some decisions different from those of the *Eighteen Songs* painter. The painting is of the return rather than the forward journey. Wenji looks steadfast, rather than timid, unhappy, or irresolute. The clothing of all the party but particularly of Wenji is rendered with extraordinary attention to detail, the overall effect being of a rather assertive display of Jurchen culture. It can be concluded that if tribute was being paid to the Southern Song, as suggested by the focus on the return among other things, it was being offered by an equal.

In the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, the frontier was tamed and incorporated into a vast empire ruled by the Manchus in association with their increasingly loyal Chinese servants — the scholar-officials of the empire. The Manchus liked to think of themselves as descendants of the Jurchen. They cultivated a strong historical genealogy to legitimate their possession of the throne. They endeavoured to retain a martial ethos, manifest in their dress, with their close fitting gown, narrow sleeves, horse-shoe cuffs, horse-riding jacket — all markedly different from the extravagant robes of the Ming gentleman. Chinese scholar-officials were forced to adopt both the Manchu gown, and Manchu hairstyle, which they saw as barbarian and by which they were initially appalled.

The Manchus hated talk of ‘barbarians’ and were watchful of any references to the border peoples. From this perspective, Hua Yan’s eighteenth-century portrayal of Zhaojun’s departure for the frontier poses a slight conundrum except insofar as Zhaojun had lost some of her Ming-dynasty intransigence. Hua Yan (1682-1756) was a southern painter, born in Fujian but active in Hangzhou and occasionally Yangzhou, leading to his occasional inclusion among the so-called ‘eccentric’ (*guai*) artists of Yangzhou. He was widely travelled, including in the north-east, and his oeuvre is marked by an interest in the frontier uncommon in his circle. At least three paintings of Zhaojun are attributed to Hua Yan, along with one of Wenji, all of them showing a rather fragile-looking young woman holding a pipe as she is led away on either a horse or a camel by her captor (fig. 6, following page). She wears a ‘sleeping rabbit’ (*wotu*) fur...
cap of the sort frequently depicted in winter scenes of this period, and a fur-lined jacket, but the folds of her clothing and the delicacy of the figure bring to mind the sing-song girls of places like Suzhou and Yangzhou. Indeed we know that these girls posed for artists. The version held by the Shanghai Museum, along with one established forgery, carries a poem by Shi Chong (249-300), the first of many written about Zhaojun in the course of China’s literary history. The opening lines establish the captive’s identification with her Han origins, and the trauma of going into exile:

I am a child of the Han, deemed suitable for the household of the Khan.
Before the farewells are over, the advance carriage raises the banner.
High and low, all are weeping; the horse in harness whinnies in sorrow.
My belly is knotted in grief, my tears are ribbons of pearls.

Another of these paintings carries a poem by Du Fu (712-770), which concludes with lines even more challenging: ‘For a thousand years the lute speaks a Tartar[h]u] tongue / We make out grief and hatred expressed within the tune’. While the poems place these paintings unambiguously in the tradition of Han-hu confrontations, a number of factors suggest they should be considered as part of a rapidly expanding genre of ‘fair lady’ or ‘beauty’ (meiren) paintings, which existed in the Ming but experienced a boom in the Qing. These factors include Hua Yan’s social position as a professional painter, the simple composition and modest dimensions of the paintings (around 125 centimetres long), together with their hanging-scroll format — suitable for middle-range buyers in the art market; and the existence of numerous other decorative hanging scroll paintings of Zhaojun, all of which show her as elegantly dressed, sometimes strikingly so. It should be noted that comparable portrayals

exist of Wenji, although she did not have quite Zhaojun's status as a 'beauty'.

Commenting on the somewhat later frontier paintings executed by Ren Bonian, Yu-chih Lai remarked on this artist’s attention to sartorial detail: he 'adorns his Su Wu in a fur-trimmed coat and a robe with long, elegant sleeves and an ornamental blue ribbon hanging almost to the ground', presenting a figure of 'unprecedented youthfulness and handsome charm'. Lai explains Ren’s novel approach to this hallowed subject in terms of the art market in Shanghai. As a Treaty Port and a rapidly expanding centre of international trade, Shanghai was home to an increasingly materialist society with a concern for appearances that was reflected in consumer choices. In brief, people in Shanghai liked pretty pictures. Yet Hua Yan was painting for a market that has been described in comparable terms. Considered alongside earlier paintings of Zhaojun and Wenji as ‘beauties’, Ren Bonian’s painting of Su Wu looking resplendent rather than tattered seems consistent with developments in the art market and trends in taste before that time.

The most striking aspect of Ren Bonian’s frontier paintings lies not in Su Wu’s sartorial splendor, but rather in the barbarians, who are conspicuous by their absence. This leaves the impression that the north-west frontier had ceased to loom large in the Chinese imagination, and indeed Lai analyses Ren’s frontier paintings in terms of a ‘new frontier’: the coast. The barbarians whom Ren Bonian chose not to paint were thus not the Manchus (whom he could not anyway have painted for political reasons), or even the Xiongnu, but rather the Westerners, who like the Khitan, the Jurchen and the Mongols for some earlier painters were very much part of Ren’s present.

**In the early twentieth century,** Chinese dress styles began to change in response both to the cosmopolitanism of the Treaty Ports and to political change, which finally resulted in the collapse of the Qing empire in 1911 and a corresponding collapse of Manchu dress codes. Curiously, Chinese men were left with a choice of barbarian clothes to wear: on the one side was the Western suit, strongly favoured in the early years of the Republic; on the other side was the Manchu gown (fig. 7). The gown won out in the short term: it was seen, for want of anything better, as more Chinese than the suit. Just as curiously, Chinese women ended up wearing a garment that evolved from various elements in Chinese, western and Manchu dress, but that came to be known as the qipao, which in effect means the Manchu gown. This has survived as the main form of Han Chinese ethnic dress, replacing the loose jacket and pleated skirt (aoqun) that defined Han women’s dress under Manchu rule.

In recent years there has been a movement in China to revive an indigenous form of dress for Han Chinese. Adherents of this movement, the Hanfu movement, are seeking to popularise ancient forms of dress for ceremonial occasions. An occasional eccentric has been known to don this sort of clothing even for daily wear. Avoiding the fusion styles of the Tang dynasty onwards, Hanfu adherents have gone back to a time when the difference between Han and Xiongnu, Chinese and barbarian, was arguably unambiguous.
The Chinese government likes the patriotism evinced by this sort of movement, but has to counter the implications of the thinking behind it, particularly in light of troublesome ethnic relations in Tibet and Xinjiang. In the summer of 2009, as the country prepared to celebrate sixty years of rule by the Communist Party, the frontier was the subject of massive propaganda campaigns in which Han and non-Han were pictured shoulder to shoulder, cheek to cheek, in demonstrations of ethnic harmony, national unity, and a spirit of economic development. Myths that used to be about separation, suffering and longing for home had long since been rewritten as myths about intercultural marriage and the merging of cultures to form the Chinese nation.

Su Wu and Wenji have a relatively modest place in this new frontier, but Zhaojun, brought to the stage in 1978 and to the television screen in 2008, has a prominent position. Visitors to Zhaojun's tomb in Inner Mongolia can there contemplate an entirely new set of images of her, most notably a bronze statue showing her riding companionably alongside the Khan, and a large bas-relief sculpture, showing her face alongside his in a style familiar from revolutionary posters of workers, soldiers and peasants. Unlike in earlier centuries, when both Han bride and barbarian groom were usually shown in the dress styles of the time, these figures are presented in the gowns and cloaks of a rather unspecific past. They gesture only in a generic way to a difference that is no longer supposed to matter.

1. An earlier version of this paper was presented as a lecture in the New South Wales Art Gallery 2009 Arts of Asia series ‘Decoding Dress’, coordinated by Ann Macarthur, Senior Coordinator of Asian Programs. My thanks to Dr Freda Murck, of the Palace Museum, Beijing, for commenting on the revised and expanded paper.
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